



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,249	01/28/2004	John R. Stuepnagel	ILLINC.000GEN	4783
20995	7590	09/15/2008		
KNOBBE MARIENTS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET			BAUGHMAN, MOLLY E	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			1637	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/15/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/767,249	STUELPNAGEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Molly E. Baughman	1637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Molly E. Baughman.

(3) Jerry Hefner.

(2) Ken Horlick.

(4) John Murphy and Calvin Fan.

Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: Actual Model of Technology.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nova et al. (US 6,340,588).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of Nova et al. in relation to claim 1. Specifically, the step of dipping the substrate comprising a plurality of array locations where each array location has a plurality of discrete sites on a projection, wherein the sites comprising different bioactive agents. Double patenting over US 7,060,431 was also discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth R Horlick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637	
--	--